

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINERS

STATE OF IDAHO

ORIGINAL

In re the Psychologist's License)	
of MAXIMO JOSE CALLAO, Ph.D.,)	Case No. BOL 83-204
License No. PSY-55,)	
)	<u>FINAL DETERMINATION</u>
Respondent.)	
)	

This matter came on regularly for hearing before the duly appointed hearing officer of the Board of Psychologist Examiners, Jean R. Uranga on 28 July 1983, Both the respondent and the Bureau of Occupational Licenses thereafter filed briefs with the hearing officer who subsequently made Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and forwarded to the Board a proposed Order. Having read the entire record in this case and having heard statements made in extenuation and mitigation by the respondent, the Board, in open meeting, adopted the proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law prepared by the hearing officer as its own, the findings being incorporated herein as if fully set forth.

IT IS HEREBY DETERMINED by the unanimous decision of the Board of Psychologist Examiners as constituted for this case, that the respondent did violate his ethical duties with respect to two clients; and that said violation constitutes the grounds for the imposition of penalties as provided by Idaho Code § 54-2309(e).

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) The psychologist license of the respondent MAXIMO JOSE CALLAO, Ph.D., be suspended as of the Board meeting of 24 September 1983. Said suspension shall end at the successful completion by the respondent of a six-month course of psycho-therapeutic treatment with a licensed psychologist approved by the Board. The scope of such therapy shall be limited to dealing with the issue of the respondent's judgment with respect to the welfare of consumers of psychological services. The course of therapy shall continue during the entirety of said six-month period. Therapy shall begin on or before 7 November 1983. The Board will not approve, either as therapist or as the supervisor mentioned below in paragraph (2), any present or former colleague of the respondent or former student. Said therapist shall submit a written report to the Board concerning the progress of the respondent in this respect every sixty days during the period of suspension.

(2) The respondent may not hold himself out as a psychologist, but he may enter into therapist-client relationships under the direct supervision and control of a licensed psychologist who shall be approved by the Board at the same time as the respondent's therapist mentioned above. Said supervision shall be conducted in accordance with Board rules on the supervision of unlicensed persons. The

therapist and the supervisor shall not be the same person or members of the same firm.

(3) The respondent is required to provide to the Board within the six month period mentioned in paragraphs (1) and (2) above, a paper outlining the ethical responsibilities of psychologists with regard to the welfare of clients.

Respondent's failure to complete successfully the terms of this decision as determined by the Board shall result in a continuation of the period of suspension or, for good cause shown, a revocation of respondent's license.

DATED This 14th day of October, 1983.


Linda Hatzenbuehler, Chair
Board of Psychologist Examiners